



## **Texas Department of Insurance**

### **Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • [www.tdi.texas.gov](http://www.tdi.texas.gov)

## **MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **Requestor Name and Address**

PINE CREEK MEDICAL CENTER  
9032 HARRY HINES BLVD  
DALLAS TX 75235

#### **Respondent Name**

INDEMNITY INSURANCE CO OF NORTH AMERICA

#### **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

#15

#### **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-10-2459-01

#### **MFDR Date Received**

JANUARY 12, 2010

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "The disputed fees should be paid in accordance with TDI-DWC § 134.404. Hospital Facility Fee Guideline – Inpatient...Carrier failed to notify HCP of any contractual agreement, therefore, we request that this claim be paid in accordance with TDI-DWC Medical Fee Guidelines..."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$18,987.24

### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The medical bill in question was reimbursed pursuant to the medical hospital inpatient prospective payment system methodology and the Requestor's contract with Aetna. The contract was identified on the EOB. Further, Requestor has been contacted by Aetna regarding this contract, and the contract was previously provided by Aetna directly to DWC. Therefore, no additional reimbursement would be owed as this treatment was reduced in accordance with a private contractual matter..."

**Response Submitted by:** Downs Stanford PC, 2001 Bryan Street, Suite 4000, Dallas, TX 75201

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 21, 2009 Through July 23, 2009	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$18,987.24	\$18,987.24

### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.

3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated August 13, 2009

- 468 — REIMBURSEMENT IS BASED ON THE MEDICAL HOSPITAL INPATIENT PROSPECTIVE PAYMENT SYSTEM METHODOLOGY.
- 45 — CHARGES EXCEED YOUR CONTRACTED/LEGISLATED FEE ARRANGEMENT.
- W1 — WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
- \*PDF NETWORK REDUCTION IS PROVIDED BY DALLAS/FT. WORTH AWCA. FOR REUQESITONS, PLEASE CALL 800-AETNA-88.

Explanation of benefits dated September 30, 2009

- B13 — RE-EVALUATED; NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT IS RECOMMENDED.
- P11 — ALLOWANCE WAS REDUCED AS PER CONRACTUAL AGREEMENT.
- 45 — CHARGES EXCEED YOUR CONTRACTED/LEGISLATED FEE ARRANGEMENT.
- 198 — ALLOWANCE WAS REDUCED AS PER CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT.
- B12 — RE-EVALUATED; ADDITIONAL PAYMENT IS RECOMMENDED.

### **Issues**

1. Were the disputed services subject to a specific fee schedule set in a contract between the parties that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011?
2. How is MAR established in this case?
3. Which reimbursement calculation applies to the services in dispute?
4. Did the requestor supports its request for separate reimbursement for implantables?
5. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

### **Findings**

1. On November 3, 201 the division requested a copy of the written notification to the health care provider pursuant to 28 TAC §133.4. No documentation was provided to sufficiently support that the respondent in this dispute notified the health care provider pursuant to all the requirements of §133.4. The division concludes: (1) that the carrier is not entitled to pay the requestor at a contracted fee pursuant to 28 TAC 133.4 (g); and (2) that the division fee guidelines apply pursuant to 28 TAC 133.4 (h).
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
  - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
  - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables."

No documentation was found to support the existence of a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute; therefore the MAR can be established under §134.404(f).

3. §134.404(f) states that "The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
  - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
    - (A) 143 percent; unless
    - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent."

Review of the documentation finds that that the facility requested separate reimbursement for implantables; for that reason, the requirements of subsection (g) apply.

4. §134.404(g) states, in pertinent part, that "(g) Implantables, when billed separately by the facility or a surgical implant provider in accordance with subsection (f)(1)(B) of this section, shall be reimbursed at the lesser of the manufacturer's invoice amount or the net amount (exclusive of rebates and discounts) plus 10 percent or \$1,000 per billed item add-on, whichever is less, but not to exceed \$2,000 in add-on's per admission.

- (1) A facility or surgical implant provider billing separately for an implantable shall include with the billing a certification that the amount billed represents the actual costs (net amount, exclusive of rebates and discounts) for the implantable. The certification shall include the following sentence: "I hereby certify under penalty of law that the following is the true and correct actual cost to the best of my knowledge."

Review of the documentation found supports that the following items were certified as required by (g):

Itemized Statement Rev Code or Charge Code	Itemized Statement Description	Cost Invoice Description	# Units & Cost Per Unit	Cost Invoice Amount	<b>Per item</b> Add-on (Cost + 10% or \$1,000 whichever is less).
278	IMPISOTIS PUTTY 5CC DBM	ACCELL EVO3 5CC	1 at \$1,100.00 ea	\$1,100.00	\$1,210.00
278	IMP SEA-SP CAGE 32X 25X 18MM	ZUMA IMPLANT 32MM X 25MM X 18MM, 8 DEG	1 at \$7,612.00 ea	\$7,612.00	\$8,373.20
278	IMP SEA-SP LOCKING COVER 18 MM	LOCKING COVER, 18 MM	1 at \$288.00 ea	\$288.00	\$316.80
278	IMP SEA-SP OSTEOSPONGE STRIP	JOSTEOSPONGE STRIP, 26MM X 19MM X 7MM	1 at \$1,495.00 ea	\$1,495.00	\$1,644.50
278	IMP SEA-SP SCR 5.5 X 30MM	ZUMA SCREW 5.5 X 30MM	4 at \$832.00 ea	\$3,328.00	\$3,660.80
278	IMP NV-SP NDL ELECTRD	NEEDLE ELECTRODES MODULES	1 at \$1,018.00 ea	\$1,018.00	\$1,119.80
				\$14,841.00	\$16,325.10
				<b>Total Supported Cost</b>	<b>Sum of Per-Item Add-on</b>

The division finds that the facility supported separate reimbursement for these implantables, and that the cost invoices were certified as required.

5. The MAR is calculated according to §134.404(f)(1)(B). MAR is established by multiplying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors (including outliers) by 108%, **plus** reimbursement for items appropriately certified under §134.404(g). The Medicare IPPS payment rates are found at <http://www.cms.gov>, and the sum of the per-item add-on for which separate reimbursement was requested are taken from the table above.
- Documentation found supports that the DRG assigned to the services in dispute is DRG 460, and that the services were provided at Pine Creek Medical Center. Consideration of the DRG, location of the services, and bill-specific information results in a total Medicare facility specific allowable amount of \$23,094.40. This amount multiplied by 108% results in an allowable of \$41,267.05.
  - The total cost for implantables from the table above is \$14,841.00. The sum of the per-billed-item add-ons does not exceed the \$2,000 allowed by rule; for that reason, total allowable amount for implantables is \$14,841.00 plus 10% (\$1,484.10), which equals \$16,325.10.

Therefore, the total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$41,267.05 plus \$16,325.10, which equals \$57,592.15. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$18,445.10. Based upon the documentation submitted, and the requestor's *Table of Disputed Services*, additional reimbursement in the amount of \$18,987.24 is recommended.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due.

### ***ORDER***

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$18, 987.24 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

### **Authorized Signature**

_____	_____	<u>March 20, 2013</u>
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

### ***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.****

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**